

2010.es

2010.es

2010.es

eu eu eu



GOBIERNO
DE ESPAÑA

MINISTERIO
DE TRABAJO
E INMIGRACIÓN



**PRESIDENCIA ESPAÑOLA DE
LA UNIÓN EUROPEA**

eu 2010.es

**“Conferencia europea
de contribución a la evaluación
a medio término de
la estrategia comunitaria
de salud y seguridad en el trabajo
2007-2012”**

Barcelona, jueves 3 y viernes 4 de junio de 2010

MR. KRIS DE MEESTER

**“European conference
for the mid-term review
of the community strategy
2007-2012
on health and safety
at work”**

Barcelona, Thursday 3rd and Friday 4th June 2010

2010.es

2010.es

2010.es

eu eu eu



FEB
Federation of
Enterprises in
Belgium

BUSINESSEUROPE



The application of the Strategy and the progress achieved

Barcelona, June 3-4 2010

Ir. Kris De Meester

Director health and safety affairs

Chairmen BusinessEurope OSH Committee

General comments

- Implementation of the Community Strategy is the responsibility of all actors
 - ✓ European Commission
 - ✓ Member States
 - ✓ Institutions (European Agency for Health and Safety,...) and experts
 - ✓ (European) social partners
 - ✓ Companies
 - ✓ Workers
- BusinessEurope agrees on importance of the Strategy
- Key role of employers in health and safety performance

General comments

- We support the objectives of the strategy
 - ✓ General objective = reduction of occupational accidents by 25%
- -25%
 - ✓ No focus on the figure as such
 - ✓ It should reflect an ambition
 - ✓ What is important is what action has been taken

Policy framework

- Strategy correctly emphasises the importance of a modern and effective policy framework on health and safety
- Policy must be designed in such a way that it meets its objectives and can be effectively and in a cost-effective and simple way implemented by companies
- Ensuring implementation of the well-established OSH regulatory framework is the most appropriate course of action
- Better implementation also means looking at better regulation and simplification

Better implementation

- Responsibility of Commission and governments:
 - ✓ Making/changing regulations is not enough
 - ✓ From the cradle to the grave approach
 - ✓ Implementation ! (not just on paper)
 - ✓ Better regulation
 - ✓ One level playing field
 - ✓ Regulation that allows employers (and workers) to take up their responsibility
 - ✓ Mechanism to short-circuit employers that do not assume responsibility
 - ✓ Practice what you preach

Credibility

- Ensure implementation
- Ensure level playing field
- Legitimacy and proportionality
- ...

- If not... adversaries have argument to do nothing, to resist, to undermine the goals,...

- TO DO FOR ALL: step up efforts in implementation and harmonisation with prevention as key element

Policy framework

- Better regulation and simplification
 - ✓ Is not an objective in itself
 - ✓ In the light of effectiveness and efficiency
 - ✓ Includes reduction of administrative burdens
 - ✓ Returning to the original objectives (e.g. “assess risks and take preventive measures” instead of “have a written risk assessment”)
 - ✓ Looking for better ways of achieving objectives
 - ✓ Non-legislative measures should be part of the options

Examples

- **Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders**
 - ✓ Non-legislative means would be a more appropriate
 - ✓ Broad directive on ergonomics will not lead to real improvement, will lead to more complexity and loss of acceptance and credibility by employers, especially SMEs
- **Electro-magnetic Fields**
 - ✓ Amendment should lead to legislation which is appropriate and compliance-friendly for all companies

Policy framework

- Practical guides are a useful tool
- But
 - ✓ Need for better dissemination, in particular to SMEs
 - ✓ More understandable language
 - ✓ More good practice examples
 - ✓ Better timing (procedures to produce guidelines are too complex and lengthy)

National strategies

- Effectiveness of Community Strategy to be ensured by development of national strategies
- Commission has important role (to be strengthened) in encouraging development of national strategies and monitoring effectiveness
- Social partners at national level should be involved in the preparation and implementation of such strategies.

Specific elements in strategy

- Better (re)integration of workers at the workplace
 - ✓ Due to accident at work or occupational illness
 - ✓ Benefits for all
- Promoting changes in behaviour

Changes in behaviour

- Development and strengthening of a preventive culture must be a central element of any strategy aiming at improving occupational safety and health
- Development and strengthening of a preventive culture = achieving better OSH performance by fostering changes in behavioural patterns
 - ✓ Governments
 - ✓ Employers
 - ✓ Workers
 - ✓ OSH-Experts
 - ✓ Financial world
 - ✓ Students, young people
 - ✓ ALL PEOPLE
- Cannot be achieved through legislation !

Changes in behaviour

- Strategy to promote a preventive culture must
 - ✓ Address and challenge all parts of society
 - ✓ Go beyond the workplace and the working population
 - ✓ Should help create a general culture that values health and risk prevention
- Elements
 - ✓ Awareness raising
 - ✓ Promote appropriate health and safety management as an integral part of effective business management
 - ✓ Education system
 - ✓ Workplace training
 - ✓ Enabling environment
 - ✓ Leadership
 - ✓ Practice what you preach
 - ✓ Guidance, assistance,
 - ✓ ...

Conclusion

- Companies committed to health and safety at the workplace
- An appropriate framework should exist to encourage company-led initiatives tailored to their sector, size and specific needs
- Further promotion of the business case for health and safety at work is needed
- The mid-term review is an important moment to assess implementation
- The mid-term review is an important moment to launch or review policies and/or measures in order to reflect the ambition of the 25% reduction of occupational accidents???

Thank you!

www.businessseurope.eu